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“Presented” refers to the date that it was included in the Board packet.
Yes, I am the most banned man from talk radio in Alaska history!
(And I have never cussed once!) But; Because I have Exposed Juneau as a Fake Capital and Dark Boos for Bau in Corruption schemes for Dividing Alaskans.

I have 3 areas of interest outside of POLITICS.
Roulette studies, Fusion, and UFO / UAP or UDD (unidentified Dimensional Disturbances)

I have been proposing an evolutionary leap; by reconstructing our society in Alaska.
In order to do that we have to define and agree to our priorities, purposes and missions

3 UNDENIABLE PRIORITIES – OVERWHELMING AND COMPELLING they are.
1.
2.
3.

#4. Is a bursting into multiple considerations like fingers of the hand, requiring unhurried discussions and whiteboard diagraming.

REFERING TO # 1,, WHAT IS THE WORKHORSE OR HEAVY LIFTER

There is no such thing as - - - - - ! term is primordial, ancient, limiting, and a “tricked up term”, its use puts you into a box of limited connections and awareness. The term is injurious.

If your consuming --------, generating a ----------, and - - - - - -
- - - own - - - -, you are most likely a -- ---- - - - - - - - !?
Accepted?
If you look outside the window,, what is the - - - - - form of - - - -
on the - - - - - - - ?

Only the Connection to - - - - - connects you to the - - - - - - - of it all
ALL THINGS CONSIDERED, EVERYTHING COMES DOWN TO
- - - - - - AND OR - - - - - - !

NOW! Mans realization and accelerated development of these
priorities will necessitate a need for contact outside of our
limited and contained awareness; or doing “business as usual”.

We will see within 5 to 10 years from now
1. A more firm affirmation of unknown technologies if not some form
   of undeniable contact.
And
2. We Alaskans need to intervene and make happen NOW the
curriculum of UFO/UAP/UDD studies into our school k thru 12 and up
in preparation for the beginning of making contact and learning we
are way not alone...the impacts of this UFO/UDD curriculum alone
look to be staggering in their positive implications and affects on our
society and our children’s evolutionary needs which appear to be
coming in metamorphic or exoskeleton like moments of
accelerating impacts...Terms like transition and transformation will
not define these morphing like leaps in evolution and awareness
needs.

Ps- I have been inside/under Cheyne Mtn/ NORAD, met Jim Mars,
Linda m Howe, talked to Bob Lazar, Travis Walton,

If a mother ship landed in your presence in the next few moments and
said “You can ask us only 3 questions” what would you ask ?
1..
2..
3..
Why aren’t we allowed to explore, discuss, or see all of the data; Or
attempt to make contact ??
This is my name and contact, and these are just 4 issues we all need to be addressing right now at one time.

I DO NOT KNOW ABOUT EACH OF YOU BUT RATHER, ONLY OF YOU - RIGHT OFF THE BAT TOPHLER 2 MIN. THANK YOU MR. BINKLEY,., MY HOME TOWN HAD INSIDERS... WHO WOULD PROFESSION ONE IDEOLOGY.TJ,,,

THIS BOARD – LETS DO SOMETHING DIFFERENT, SLOW DOWN AND DRILL DOWN FOR A FOUNDATIONAL DATA FOR ALL ALASKANS,,, LETS BREAK TRAIL,,PIVOTERS 21 CENTURY

STOP, INVITE THE PUBLIC TO PARTICIPATE,, PSA ,,AGENDAS,, LET US BE ONE ON THE DATA AND PREDISPOSITIONS WE ALL SHARE,,,

DO THE NORMAL,, AND THEN LETS SPECIAL, LETS BE ALASKANS, LET US/YOU BE LEADERS AND LEGACIES,,

NEED I REMIND YOU ALL OF THE DISCONTENT IS NOW DEEPLY INSIDE OUR COMMUNITIES AT THIS TIME...AND THEY WILL ASK HOW WE SURVIVED SUCH DANGEROUS AND UNPREDICTABLE EVOLUTIONS AS THIS CURRENT MALAISE

WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO RECONSTRUCT,,,, LET US DO THIS BASED ON TRUE AND ACTUAL DATA, OPEN DATA, DATA BEYOND CORRUPTION,,, ONCE EVERY TEN YEARS,,, STARTING RIGHT HERE IN THIS ROOM,,,, I THINK THIS PANEL IS A LITTLE OUT OF BALANCE..... 3 WOMEN ...

JUNEAU DESTRUCTIVE, INJURIOUS,,DECOLING,, DISRUPTIVE TECHNOLOGY ,,ITS SPAWNING OF THE LACK OF ACCOUNTABLE IT IS BEING COVID ON SETROIDS OR

THE CONTINUING REPRESIJVE ACTIONS OF CENSORSHIP ARE CREATING CLASS SYSTEM SOCIETY AND I AM CONTINUING TO SEE SIGN OF APPROACHING CIVIL CHAOS AND UNREST

WE NEED TOGETHER UP OUR PEOPLE AND BEGIN THE RESET OR RECONSTRUCT AND REDESIGN OF OUR CURRENT SOCIETY PUBLIC EMPLOYEE UNION SECTORS..

THE FOLLOWING RECOMMENDATIONS ARE NOW NEEDED TO BE PROMULGATED, PROPAUNDED OR PUBLICLY PROPOSED IN OPEN DISCUSSIONS ... AS EMOTIONAL AS THEY MAY BE,,,, THE TIME HAS COM...

THIS BODY,, YOU FOLKS ON THIS PANEL NOW REPRESENT THE DATA ON WHICH MUCH OF OUR VERY NEAR AND NEXT 10 YEARS WILL LAUNCH....

ITS AS IF YOU DON'T SEE YOUR TRUE VALUE OR PERHAPS I AM THE MISSING LINK

THIS IS DANGEROUS!
THERE ARE POLITICAL AND SOCIAL ASPECTS AND EVENTS THAT I AM SEEING, THAT IF I AM CORRECT, WHAT I AM SEEING MAYBE MORE DANGEROUS THAN WE CAN IMMAGINE.

SOCIALISM > COMMUNISM GOING TO > ???????????

I AM NOT BRINGING IT UP AT THIS TIME, BECAUSE MOST LIKELY THE POOP WILL HIT THE FAN, SO WE WILL NEED THE RIGHT FORMAT FOR AN UNHURRIED AND FREEDOM OF SPEECH PLATFORM.

IF I CONTINUE TO SEE INDICATORS AND THE DATA VALIDATES THE SYMPTONS THAT I AM SEEING IN WHAT IS HAPPENING IN OURSOCIETY, WE ARE HEAD TO A VERY DANGEROUS PLACE THAT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED IMMEDIATELY.

BUT PLEASE MAKE NOTE THAT I RAISED THE ISSUE HERE TODAY, CRYPTICLLY THOE IT IS ......

WE ARE WITNESSING THE EVOLVING OF AN -------- LIKE DESIGN OF A -------- OR -------- LIKE DESIGN, WHICH IS PRIMARILY -------- IN DESIGN.!!!

Paul D. Kendall

PLEASE DO A MOCK ANALYSIS OF - FAKE CITY AND CAPITAL JUNEAU -
JUNEAU IS A COLLECTIVE OF ONE LEVEL OF CORRUPTION AFTER ANOTHER, LACK OF VIABILITY, PREDATORY IN ITS NATURE, DISASSEMBLING OUR ALASKA ...

MR BINKLEY, YOU REPRESENT THE ADVERSARIAL GROUPS WHO ARE MAINTAINING JUNEAU FALSE CONSTRUCTS AS A CAPITAL AND LEGISLATIVE PLATFORM .... JUNEAU IS A FALSE CITY - YOU CANNOT COUNT IT AS A VIABLE CENSUS ENTITY AREA !
15,000 to 25,000 of those citizens are maintained by our diverted shared revenues with horrid affects on all the rest of Alaskans...in a multitude of ways.

Ask you all here to slow down this moment — expand this out — every 10 years — invite some video crews in, ask some of your colleagues to join you respectively, review your press contacts and media notifications of this event, PSA adds written, audio and video.

The reason this data is so valid is what is coming.....
In the information I left you last week ....

Close with thank you Mr. Binkley for your public input position of leadership
I won’t be staying which I really want to... to share this moment in history,...
But I do not feel comfortable in a room where public servants are threatening me with assault and denial of my basic freedoms.... which was created by Juneau,,, I watched the violation rational vote on masking myself.....

Juneau, public employee unions, educational K-12 industry, family home taxes, propaganda inclined media ...

Therefore, limit all public employment to 4 years
Date: Monday, September 6, 2021

TO: Alaska Supreme Court of betrayal and abandonment,
Alaska State Legislature of the “Criminally Insane” mostly,
Alaska “Domiciled or Ps wd?” Governor M. J. Dunleavy
Lt. Gov “Dominion inclined No Show”, “No Audit” Kevin Meyers
Alaska Div of “Dominion Phony” Elections Gail Funami Yami
Anchorage Assembly “of the Morally Deviants” mostly,
Anchorage (Mayor Bronson {???, little too early Hmmm!
Anchorage Daily CCP PROPAGANDA print Roganof got your 6 $ media,
Ak Sen Natasha Am I Hot-get a grip, Ak Rep needs a spankin by Bernadet Chris Tuck n Run (?),
ACLU of Alaska, (Antifa Communist League Underworld)
US Sen Damn Sullivan, Sen Lies alot Murkbideny,
US Rep “Cant give it up,, for christs sake, wanna be Gandolf” Young
and other various entities and or individuals
(Please consider this a public document of public record)

The Recall “’Public emp Unions pfd thieves’ Dunleavy Group
and
Binkley for Dunleavy Group (((Binkley for Dunleavy,,,, Hmmmmmmmm?? Am I really buyin that??)))

Yes, I am upset and I used their Respective Email addresses ,, cheez! — I just want my damn dividend
and our real capital with us simple people here in the “blue line road area” where we all can participate
In the ongoing Juneau criminal and commies theft enterprise network. (I know, it’s a little overboard;
But: Then, so is 6 years of 51 JUNEAU ITES stealing $500,000 Alaskans pfd, ya know!?)

From: Paul D. Kendall

Ref:
This is a Formal Public Request for Photo copies / digitized copies of all of the original signature lists
of names and all documents involved/reference in/to and around the Recall of Gov Dunleavy without
any redaction or editing and for the digital copies to be placed on a State wide and financed Site for all
Alaskans to download and review as a matter of historical public record.

AND/why
For reasons of concern that this public shared revenue resources (pfd $billions thefts) acquired
information ( of 62,373 signatures) will be used to create voter fraud using the various
DOMINION VOTING SYSTEMS and processes in operation around our State of Alaska and Anchorage
communities.

AND with
the lack of oversight and accountability of our Divided Division of Elections in FAKE and REMOTE
CAPITAL JUNEAU by Gail Funimi and Lt. Gov. Kev Myrs.””missing in action”” profiles!
ATTN:
Recall Dunleavy Group et al – (including various board members and monetary and legal contributors)
Meda DeWitt, Chair
medadewitt@gmail.com
PO Box 210228
Anchorage, AK 99521

Gunalchéesh and Until We Meet Again...

Inbox ----Team Recall info@recalldunleavy.org via gmail.mcsv.net
Aug 25, 2021, 6:44 AM

from: Team Recall <info@recalldunleavy.org> via gmail.mcsv.net
reply-to: Team Recall <info@recalldunleavy.org>
to: pauldkendall@gmail.com
date: Aug 25, 2021, 6:44 AM
subject: Gunalchéesh and Until We Meet Again...
mailing list: 06def85b860877fd35cb8cd2dmc-list-06def85b860877fd35cb8cd2d.641205.list-mcsv.net Filter messages from this mailing list
mailed-by: mail5.sea31.mcsv.net
signed-by: gmail.mcsv.net
security: Standard encryption (TLS) learn more

Important mainly because of the words in the message.

Partial transcript below:

"Today, 15 months before the next election with 62,373 signatures in hand, we have decided it is time to look to the future. We are calling on Alaskans to rededicate their efforts to denying Dunleavy another term marked by chaos and mismanagement."

"The fight is not over. We must remain vigilant and push back on the draconian policies and bully tactics of the Dunleavy Administration. In 2022, we have a chance to correct this mistake and end this reign of error. Stay strong and we will meet again in the future in another form to finish this important work."

Chair of Recall Dunleavy,
Meda DeWitt

Dear ladies and Gentlemen, (the Honorable and Well intended ones)

Due to these propagandized, Censored, troubled, pfd +thieving, confusing times of uncertainty, and lack of accountability perpetrated

(( by the illegally economically subsidized Fake Capital/Legis Platform JUNEAU corruption supporters ))
from/with/by „directly and indirectly various publicly financed/co-
mingled resources and elected/appointed public officials with use of
our shared revenue streams,
Please make available to us and the Alaska Public Citizens for the
purposes of historical and public review (and download) the actual
Photo copies and digitized copies of all original signature lists of names
and all documents involved/referenced in/to and around the Recall of
Gov Dunleavy event without any redaction or editing; And for digital
copies to be placed on a State wide and financed Site for all Alaskans to
review as a matter of historical public record.
Along with a complete list of all RESPONSIBLE PARTIES AND PERSONS
INVOLVED IN THIS RECALL OF THE GOV LIST OF NAMES AQUIRED VIA
OUR COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE AND SHARED REVENUE STREAMS
MATTER FOR HISTORICAL PURPOSES; And for potential voter fraud
referencing in the upcoming 2022 elections processes.

Note: see my prior emailed to Ak Div of Elections of inquiry and requests letters to Gov Dunleavy, Gail
Funamia, and Lt Gov Keven Meyers which were never answered!?
Thank You,
Sincerely and Respectfully,
Paul D. Kendall
END
September 7, 2021

Submitted via Email

Alaska Redistricting Board
P.O. Box 240147
Anchorage, AK 99524
testimony@akredistrict.org

Dear Alaska Redistricting Board:

The Native American Rights Fund (“NARF”) is a non-profit 501(c)(3) organization that focuses on applying existing laws and treaties to guarantee that national and state governments live up to their legal obligations to tribes. After watching the Redistricting Board’s (“Board”) meetings on August 23 and 24, we wanted to raise multiple concerns with the Board’s process.

I. Failure to consider the Voting Rights Act prior to public comment

The Board appears to have decided that it will not consider the requirements of the Voting Rights Act (“VRA”) until the final weeks of the redistricting process. This decision is not required under Alaska’s Constitution or the Alaska Supreme Court’s prior decisions, and it will significantly harm the ability of the public to participate fully and fairly in redistricting.

Alaska law does not require the Board to ignore the VRA before conducting public hearings, and doing so is illogical. In re 2011 Redistricting Cases, 274 P.3d 466, 467-68 (Alaska 2012), does not compel a contrary approach. In that case, the Alaska Supreme Court outlined the proper procedure for drawing maps:

The Board must first design a plan focusing on compliance with the article VI, section 6 requirements of contiguity, compactness, and relative socioeconomic integration; it may consider local government boundaries and should use drainage and other geographic features in describing boundaries wherever possible. Once such a plan is drawn, the Board must determine whether it complies with the Voting Rights Act and, to the extent it is noncompliant, make revisions that deviate from the Alaska Constitution when deviation is “the only means available to satisfy Voting Rights Act requirements.”

Id. (quoting Hickel v. Se. Conf., 846 P.2d 38, 52 n.22 (Alaska 1992)).
This process does not require that the Board conduct public hearings without first considering the VRA. Instead, this process merely requires that the Board create its initial draft map by first looking to the State’s constitutional requirements, and then making VRA-required adjustments as necessary. In both *In re 2011 Redistricting Cases* and *Hickel v. Southeast Conference*, the court specifically referenced Article VI, Section 6 of the Alaska Constitution:1 the section that provides the criteria for map drawing. *Hickel* 846 P.2d 38 at 44; *In re 2011 Redistricting Cases*, 274 P.3d at 467. The court does not refer more broadly to the public comment process required under the Alaska Constitution. And neither the court nor the Constitution require that consideration of the VRA wait until after the Board’s public hearings are largely complete. Indeed, “[o]nce such a plan is drawn, the Board must determine whether it complies with the Voting Rights Act,” not after the public-comment process. *In re 2011 Redistricting Cases*, 274 P.3d at 467.

The 2011 Board overtly prioritized the VRA from the very beginning of its map drawing, and it was this approach that the court took issue with. As the court noted, “[i]t is undisputed that the Board began redistricting in March and April of 2011 by focusing on complying with the Voting Rights Act, thereby ignoring the process we mandated in *Hickel*.” *Id.* In 2011, the Board received Census data in mid-March. By early April, after traveling the state for preliminary public hearings and before beginning to draw an initial draft map, the Board was already discussing the requirements of the VRA and how it might avoid retrogression. It chose to prioritize those requirements when beginning to create districts. *In re 2011 Redistricting Cases*, 294 P.3d 1032, 1034 (Alaska 2012). The error there was not that the Board considered the VRA before issuing its proposed map; it was that the Board began drawing its initial draft map with the VRA—and not the State’s constitutional requirements—at the forefront.

Now, in 2021, by failing to consider the VRA prior to issuing a proposed map and holding hearings to receive public comments, the Board is limiting the impact Alaskans can have on the redistricting process. The Board will tour the state with a map that may not satisfy the requirements of federal law. When the Board must later make decisions about how to adjust that map in order to comply with federal law, it will do so without the benefit of significant public comment on those adjustments. Alaska’s Constitution mandates public hearings for a reason. The Redistricting Board must ensure that those hearings are as effective as possible by adopting a proposed map that complies with all governing law, including the VRA.

1 “The Redistricting Board shall establish the size and area of house districts, subject to the limitations of this article. Each house district shall be formed of contiguous and compact territory containing as nearly as practicable a relatively integrated socio-economic area. Each shall contain a population as near as practicable to the quotient obtained by dividing the population of the state by forty. Each senate district shall be composed as near as practicable of two contiguous house districts. Consideration may be given to local government boundaries. Drainage and other geographic features shall be used in describing boundaries wherever possible.” ALASKA CONST. article VI, sec. 6.
II. Failure to consider race as part of socioeconomic integration

The Board and staff appear to believe that no consideration of race is allowed when drawing districts that achieve relative socioeconomic integration. However, the fact that areas are predominantly Alaska Native has been cited by the Alaska Supreme Court as support for socioeconomic integration. *Kenai Peninsula Borough v. State*, 743 P.2d 1352, 1361 (Alaska 1987); *Hickel*, 846 P.2d at 46. In these cases, race is one factor in a list of several that can support the conclusion that two areas are sufficiently integrated. For example, in *Kenai Peninsula Borough*, the court held that a Southeast district that included Metlakatla and Hoonah was socioeconomically integrated in part because “many of the communities [it included] are predominantly Native.” 743 P.2d at 1361. To be sure, the mere fact that a district includes largely Alaska Native communities is not enough to show socioeconomic integration, particularly when the Alaska Native peoples in that district include multiple, culturally distinct groups. See *Hickel*, 846 P.2d at 53–54. But there is no bar on the Board considering race as one possible indicator of socioeconomic integration.

Though the Board is not required to consider race as a factor in the analysis, it is one of several factors the Board may consider. The Board should allow individuals and organizations to testify about racial characteristics, as part of the socioeconomic integration analysis, as that is one factor the Board should consider.

III. Refusal to consider data about third-party maps

In the Board’s August 30 email to subscribers, it instructed those who plan to submit maps for the Board’s consideration to “not include labels that are related to politics, elections, or demographic information such as . . . statistics on voting age population, race, ethnicity, or gender.” From this email, it appears that the Board will refuse to consider whether third-party maps satisfy the requirements of the VRA before releasing them for public comment. As discussed above, this decision is not required by state law. Furthermore, it abdicates the Board’s responsibility to propose maps that satisfy all of the legal requirements governing redistricting. Again, by declining to consider VRA compliance until after the public hearing process, the Board is not allowing the public to fully participate in the redistricting process.

IV. Reliance on past districts

During the Board’s August 23 and 24 meetings, Executive Director Peter Torkelson stated that it was good practice to adhere to prior districts, because they had already been approved by the Alaska Supreme Court. This approach is incorrect. Unlike some other states, Alaska has no criteria that legislative districts should respect existing districts, or even consider them at all. As far as we are aware, the Board has not passed its own guidance that would adopt this as a criterion for line-drawing. Furthermore, the populations distributed across our state have changed and shifted over the course of the past decade. Configurations that were allowed ten years ago might not be justifiable today—new, more compact, and more integrated districts may be possible. The Board cannot simply assume that the current districts are constitutional as applied to the new 2020 data.
V. Availability of race data in the public software

During the August 23 and 24 meetings, Board member Melanie Bahnke asked staff why there was no race data included in the public software offered through Districtr. Executive Director Torkelson said he would need to speak with Districtr to determine whether this data could be added.

Districtr has racial breakdowns available, by total population and by voting-age population, for nearly every state. This information is provided on their mapping software and was previously available for Alaska. NARF contacted Districtr inquiring why this information had been removed for Alaska, and the organization quickly offered to re-add the race data to their own website, although not to the program nested on the Board’s website.

It is NARF’s understanding that Board staff told Districtr that the Board is barred from considering race data in the initial map-drawing process and recently asked Districtr to remove the race data for Alaska. The Board should work with Districtr to reintegrate this demographic information into the mapping program. It is crucial that Alaskans have this information so they can ensure that their proposed maps comply with the requirements of the VRA. Additionally, Alaskans may want to consider racial information in their determinations about socioeconomic integration, and will need access to the data in order to effectively do so.

VI. Use of executive sessions

The Board is subject to the Open Meetings Act, which it adopted explicitly in January 2021. Under this law, “actions of [the Board should] be taken openly and [its] deliberations [should] be conducted openly.” Alaska Stat. § 44.62.312(a)(2). All of the Board’s meeting should be open, except where executive sessions are allowed.

At this point, the Board has received nearly all legal briefing in executive session. The current practice excludes the public from important discussions and fails to educate the public about the requirements to which maps must conform.

The Open Meetings Act contains four explicit exceptions, specifying the subjects that may be discussed in executive session: (1) information which could have an immediate adverse impact on the finances of the public entity; (2) information that could prejudice the reputation and character of a person; (3) information required to be confidential by law; and (4) information involving consideration of government records that are not subject to disclosure (i.e., confidential information). Id. § 44.62.310(c). None of these exceptions applies to discussions of the relevant law governing redistricting.

Executive session is not broadly appropriate under attorney-client privilege. Though attorney-client privilege may sometimes require executive session, this is limited to situations where “the revelation of the communication will injure the public interest.” Cool Homes, Inc. v. Fairbanks North Star
Borough, 860 P.2d 1248, 1262 (Alaska 1993). This includes avoiding legal liability, litigation strategies, proposed settlements, or conference regarding an appeal. Id. (collecting cases). That exception is not appropriate for a “mere request for general legal advice or opinion by a public body in its capacity as a public agency.” Id. at 1261–62. Indeed, even if the Board were currently involved in litigation, that might not be enough. Id. at 1262.

It appears that the information the Board is currently receiving in executive session includes general legal advice regarding its actions as a public entity. Sharing that information with the public would not harm the public interest; indeed, it “might be informative and desirable.” Id. Therefore, the Board should reconsider its liberal use of executive sessions. General legal advice should be provided in view of the public.

Thank you for the opportunity to participate in the redistricting process. We recognize that this is a difficult task and we appreciate the time and effort that you have dedicated to it.

Sincerely,

Megan Condon
Staff Attorney
Native American Rights Fund
Building an Alaskan House map requires understanding the Alaskan population distribution in the 2020 US Census.

Key impacts for local governmental entities are:

1) Anchorage Municipality population allows for 15.885 Representatives.
2) Mat-Su Borough population allows for 5.84 Representatives
3) Fairbanks North Star Borough population allows for 5.21 Representatives.
4) Southeast Alaska Region population allows for 3.943 Representatives.
5) Kenai Peninsula Borough population allows for 3.207 Representatives
6) North Slope/NANA Boroughs population allows for 1.027 Representatives
7) Kodiak Borough population allows for 0.715 Representatives.
8) Aleutians East, Bristol Bay and Lake & Peninsula Borough population allows for 0.313 Representatives.
9) Denali Borough population, which lies north of Mat-Su Borough, allows for 0.088 Representatives.

The remaining three Alaskan State House historically have been linked:

10) Bethel Census Area and Nome/Kusilvak Census Areas each have a Representative.
11) Rural Interior census areas have the 40th Representative.

The Anchorage Municipality has shared a House Seat with either the Mat-Su Borough or the Kenai Borough in Alaskan House maps.

The 1994, 2001, 2011 and 2013 maps had district including populations on both sides of the Knik River Bridge.
Since both Anchorage Municipality and Mat-Su Borough need extra population for their large excess fractional seats, the shared Knik River Bridge District is not justified for the 2021 Redistricting Map.

Since the Mat-Su Borough cannot provide surplus population, a southern Anchorage district includes a northern KPB precinct.

Southeast Alaska Region is combined due to the unique Southeast panhandle geography as the fourth entry.

The average Southeast District is 98.6% of ideal size population or 263 people short.

The Cordova River Census Area has been frequently included in a Southeast Alaskan House Seat.

If the Copper River Census Area with its 0.143 Representative is added, the average Southeast Alaska district is 102.1% of ideal population. The people of Southeast Alaska become 2.1% underrepresented by adding Copper River Census Area (Cordova) to the SE Region.

An ideal deviation solution is to put less than half of Cordova into a Southeast Alaska district which is clearly unacceptable representation for Cordova.

The preferred option allows Southeast Districts to be 1.4% overrepresented (-263 people) rather underrepresented by 2.1%.

The resultant underrepresentation for other 36 Alaskan House districts is only 0.16% (29 people) per district.

In terms of population impact, the 4 SE districts have 263 less people than ideal and the other 36 districts have 29 more people than ideal.